

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ess:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/701,203	12/06/2000	Markus Kalkum	1539-00 7336		
35811	7590 06/30/2004		EXAMINER		
IP DEPARTMENT OF PIPER RUDNICK LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE, SUITE 4900			GORDON, BRIAN R		
1650 MARKET ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PHILADELP	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103		1743		
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W.		
Advisory Action	09/701,203	KALKUM ET AL.	A		
• • •	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian R. Gordon	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	5		
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth is ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply compared to the shortened statutory period for the mail is a statutory period for reply compared to the shortened statutory period for reply compared to the shorte	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropriation of the fee. The appropria	MPEP te extension		
1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2. ☐ The proposed emperator) will not be an toward to a second point of the contract of the contrac	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe		ee NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	•				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or			ying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	oe allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed ame	ndment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid	lered but does NOT pla	ice the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to) issues which were nev	wly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims working the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims working).	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided belov	will be entered and a vor appended.	ın		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		• •			
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>20,23-30 and 32-38</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	e Fxaminer.			
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement			i		
10. ☐ Other:	(=)(-,,,,,,,,-,,,,,	 ·			
			i		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amendented claim 30 to recite drive device is stucturally capable of performing a repeated amimed movement of the carrier material. The pre-amended claim did not require the drive to device to move the carrier material in any particular aimed directiton. Claims 30 and 32-38 are the only claims rejected over the prior art of record. Claims 20 and 23-29 are rejected under 112, first paragraph. The previous art rejection of claims 20-28 in the office action of June 4, 2003 was previously dropped in response to the amendment filed December 4, 2003.

Juli Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700